

(check \square only one box for each question) \square

ERAL PROCESSING



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/DIS ARMS COMPLAI	· / —	
AIRS ID#: 7775325 DA	ATE: <u>02/28/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>835</u>	DEPART: <u>1100</u>	
FACILITY NAME: GI	LADES CUT-OFFROAD SITE	3		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 4880 Glades Cut-Off l	Road		
	FT. PIERCE 34981			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERI	ED REPRESENTATIVE: W OD: 5/11/2006 / 5/11/20 (effective date) (end date)	M H M	PHONE: (941)918-2400 Mobile: (941)809-6900 PHONE: Mobile:	
PART I: INSPECTION ☑ IN COMPLIAN	N COMPLIANCE STATUS ICE MINOR Non-COM		IIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: ONSITE INT 1. Name(s) of facility re Brief Notes:	presentative(s):		(check 🗹 o box for each qu	only one destion)
2. Is the Authorized Rep If no, who is?:	oresentative still WILLIAM RIG	CHARDSON?	\(\sum \) Yes	□No
	cility provide an administrative still ?			□No □No
4. Will facility be condu	acting VE test(s) during today's iance authority notified at least			□No □No

Emissions Unit Section <u>1 -Eagle Crusher</u>

		(check ☑	only one
	ŀ	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granity Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlos and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	•
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	✓ Yes✓ Yes	No No No No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
6.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No ⊠No
8.	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No ⊠No

1 -Eagle Crusher

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	\boxtimes No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat		
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	ıl	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted .	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes Yes	⊠No
	[Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
If (answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
,	2		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 2006		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	⊠No
<i>If</i>	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If.	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
-,	and the guestion is the study are journing questions among a uncount to guestion is		
14	Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?		∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	∐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes	☐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the}$	_	_
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	☐ Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No

1 -Eagle Crusher

16.Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,			
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A		Yes	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Vas	□ Na
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	· Ш	Yes	□No
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	ш	103	
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
If yes:			
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?			
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete			
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?			
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.			
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Ves	⊠No
220000, Tano, dampero, etc., to capture and dansport particulate matter to a control device:	Ш	105	∠J10
21. Initial Tests:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A		Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes Yes	□No □No

1 -Eagle Crusher

22.If the EU is a building enclosing ar	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with en	issions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo initial startup of the EU? {A "vent" is any opening through wh		N	J/A	Yes	⊠ No
purpose of exhausting from a building one or more affected EUs.}b. Was the EU found to be in complete.	g air carrying particula iance with the PM limit	te matter (PM) emissions from of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	om non-vent building op	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity?	Yes Yes	□No
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control e If yes, does the owner/operator main				Yes	⊠No
a. a device for the continuous measured scrubber and the device has been instructions?	rement of the pressure less calibrated on an annual must be certified by the	al basis in accordance with man	ufacturer's	☐ Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measu device has been calibrated on a	n annual basis in accord must be certified by the		ctions?	Yes	□No
24. When was the last VE test conduct a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60			voore?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		to been tested within the past 3	years:	1 es	□100
i. has the EU been tested durin ii. has the EU been tested yet w	g each of the past 4 cale			⊠ Yes □ Yes	□No ⊠No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the own a. Was the VE test conducted at a property Rate: 8 tons /hr				∑ Yes∑ Yes	□No □No
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 9?			Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	pliance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the in. a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr					□No
Rate: 8 tons/hr				_	
b. Was the VE test conducted accord				Yes	No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacityd. Did the VE test demonstrate comp		_		⊠ Yes	□No
		ity Limits	Ι		
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		ted, modif	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	or recon after 4/2	structed or 2/2008	n or
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	

Emissions Unit Section <u>2 -Crusher Engine</u>

		(check 🗹	only one
	1	oox for each	-
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granic Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? ty te, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		□No □No □No ⊠No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
6. 7.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	□No □No □No □No

2 - Crusher Engine

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,	□ V	□ N-
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	nd.	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wett		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	cu	
	solety by wet suppression systems is not considered to be suturated joi purposes of this definition.		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
1£	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart 000 so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
-,	and unitarity of the sim greeness of to unoup our the tree committee of greeness the		
11	When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
		_	_
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
	7. M. 177.		
14	Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	□ Vas	□ No
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	∐ No □No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	☐ Yes☐ Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than of equal to 7/0 opacity:		
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	$\{A\ "vent"\ is\ any\ opening\ through\ which\ there\ is\ mechanically\ induced\ air\ flow\ for\ the$		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes	∐No

2 - Crusher Engine

If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	16.Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
Gollows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance) 7. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?				
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance) 7. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?	uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance) 7. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?		ng		
7. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?	as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
No No No No No No No No	none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
No No No No No No No No	17 If the EII is an individual, analoged storage him controlled by a haghouse			
8. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?			Vec	\square No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate: a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? —	were initial rughtive chrissions less than of equal to 7% opacity:	ш	103	110
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? —	18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
instructions?	a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250 pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? Yes {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 9. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.} and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		· 🔲	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?				
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?				
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?				
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 9. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?			**	
9. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Ш	Yes	∐No
9. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	ПNо
a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		_		
the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?				
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?				
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?				
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?			
f the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following fuestions and go directly to Question 24. 10. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? YesNo 11. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A YesNo				
**Duestions and go directly to Question 24. **O.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? YesNo **I.Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A YesNo	recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	□No
**Duestions and go directly to Question 24. **O.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? YesNo **I.Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A YesNo	If the EII was constructed modified or reconstructed on or after 1/22/2008 skin the following			
O. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? YesNo 1. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A YesNo				
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? YesNo 1. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A Yes No	questions and go an eetily to Question 24.			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?		Yes	□No
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	01 L-14-1 Tr			
initial startup of the EU? N/A Yes No				
			Vac	\square No
		H		
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)? YesNo		H		=
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?		H		
in 1 yes, was the opacity less than of equal to 170 opacity.	a. If you, was the opacity loss than of equal to 170 opacity.		105	

2 - Crusher Engine

individually in compliance with em a. Was an initial PM stack test performatical startup of the EU? {A "vent" is any opening through when purpose of exhausting from a building the start of the purpose of exhausting from a building the start of	rmed on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?{A "vent" is any opening through whe purpose of exhausting from a building		ol device within 180 days of			
purpose of exhausting from a buildin		N	/A [Yes	☐ No
one or more affected EUs.}					
b. Was the EU found to be in compli	iance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
3.Is a wet scrubber used to control e			[Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maint					
a. a device for the continuous measu scrubber and the device has bee instructions?	en calibrated on an annua	al basis in accordance with man	ufacturer's [Yes	□No
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro	•				
b. a device for the continuous measured device has been calibrated on a {Note: The monitoring device to of design scrubbing liquid flow	n annual basis in accordanust be certified by the	ance with manufacturer's instru	ctions? [Yes	□No
4. When was the last VE test conduct	ed by the owner/opera	tor for this EU? 02/08/2010			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60	subpart OOO, has the E		years? [⊠ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar i. has the EU been tested durin		nder voere?	Г	Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested duffing				Yes	□No
5. Was a VE test conducted by the owa. Was the VE test conducted at a practice.				⊠ Yes ⊠ Yes	□No □No
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 9? .		[⊠ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity			Ŀ	<u> </u>	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp			·[⊠ Yes	□No
6. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>ins</i>	snector for this unit du	ring this site visit?		⊠ Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr Rate:				Yes	□No
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 9?		[⊠ Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity			Ľ	_	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp			[⊠ Yes	□No
	VE Opac	ity Limits			
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart ()00 FII	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	construct		ied.
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior to 4/22/2008	or reconstruct after 4/22	tructed or	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	31001 I/AA	12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	

Emissions Unit Section 3 –Belt Transfer Point

		(check 🗹	only one
	ŀ	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granity Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlorand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	1
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?		No No No No
su If	bpart OOO so skip the following questions 1-4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
6.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No

3 -Belt Transfer Point

	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	s ⊠No
	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	s ⊠No
sul If t	to any of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to spart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. The answer to all of the six Questions 5 - 10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
	When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 04/21/2006 Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	s 🛛No
	unswer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		310
	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	s 🖾No
If a	nswer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14.	Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	s
	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	s 🗌 No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes	s 🔲No

3 -Belt Transfer Point

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	⊠No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	_ ,	5.7	
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Ш	Yes	⊠ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	\Box	Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	Ш	103	⊠110
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			
instructions?	П·	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
10 Is wat summassion used to control amissions from the EUP	\square	Vaa	□ No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, 		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests:		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	□No □No □No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □ No □No

3 -Belt Transfer Point

22. If the EU is a building enclosing an	y other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with em					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor	med on each vent contr	ol device within 180 days of			
initial startup of the EU?		🛛 N	/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through wh	ich there is mechanicall	ly induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building					
one or more affected EUs.}	, , , , ,	, ,			
b. Was the EU found to be in compli	ance with the PM limit	of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				Yes	□No
	8 1	8			
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?			Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain				_	
a. a device for the continuous measur		oss of the gas stream through the	e		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device n					
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	•				
and	,				
b. a device for the continuous measur	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrul	bber and the)	
device has been calibrated on ar		•			□No
{Note: The monitoring device n					
of design scrubbing liquid flow					
S T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	,				
24. When was the last VE test conducte	ed by the owner/operat	tor for this EU? 2/8/2010			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60			vears?	☐ Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart		<u>r</u>			
i. has the EU been tested during		ndar years?		⊠ Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi				Yes	⊠No
•		•			
25. Was a VE test conducted by the ow				Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	ocess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted accord				Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of% for the high	est six-minute average.			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
_					
26. Was a VE test conducted by the ins	pector for this unit du	ring this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				Yes	□No
Rate: <u>0</u>					
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ing to EPA Method 9? -			Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity					
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp				⊠ Yes	□No
•		•		_	
	T/E O	• •			
		ity Limits	G 1	000 55	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	_	OOO EU	_
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,		ted, modifi	· ·
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or recon	structed or	ı or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/2	2/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%		12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%		7%	
			l .		

Emissions Unit Section 4-Screening

1. 2. 3. 4.	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO — Nonmetallic Mineral Processin {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral:" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock i. (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Tale and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.] Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill? Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)? Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983? Is the EU one of the following? crusher, grinding mill, bucket elevator, belt conveyor, bagging operation, crusher, grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; creasering operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. [A "vent" is	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite, ulite; Yes	No No No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes	⊠No
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No

4 -Screening

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or	•	
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processis		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wett		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
IJ	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 4/21/2006		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	∐ Yes	⊠No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	_ **	
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	☐ Yes☐ Yes	□No □No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes	□No
15	. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
10	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes	□No

4 -Screening

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturing	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,		. 7	
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	Ш	Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	\Box	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	ш	1 68	□110
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			
instructions?	\Box	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	ш	100	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	•		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		Yes	□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?			□No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, 		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)? If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. 20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device? 21. Initial Tests:		Yes	No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	□No □No □No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □ No □No
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □ No □No

4 -Screening

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of			_ ,,
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Ш	Yes	∐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.} b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Vac	□No
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)? c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	\vdash	Yes Yes	□No □No
C. Were illust fugitive chiissions from non-vent bunding openings less than of equal to 1/0 opacity.	ш	168	□140
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	⊠No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	_	*	<u></u>
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's			
instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			_ ,,
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Ш	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU? 02/08/2010			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	П	Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:	_	105	
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?		Yes	⊠No
	_		
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
Rate: 8 tons/hr			
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	\bowtie	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		T7 .	□ NT.
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	M	Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted by the <i>unspector</i> for this unit during this site visit:a.			□No
Rate: 8 tons/hr		103	
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of <u>0</u> % for the highest six-minute average.	السكا	100	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	\boxtimes	Yes	□No
			_

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)? \[\Boxed N/A \] If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	Yes Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? N/A e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	Yes	□ No
particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	⊠ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY 1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	(check 🗹 box for each of	only one uuestion)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:		1
a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- 🛛 Yes	□No □No □No
b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	Yes Yes Yes	□No

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	- ☐ Yes - ☐ Yes - ☐ Yes - ☐ Yes - ☐ Yes - ☐ Yes 	No No No No
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑	only one
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	box for each of	
Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	☐ Yes	⊠No
a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	⊠ Yes	□No
terms and conditions of the air general permit?	⊠ Yes	□No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	Yes	□No
DELOCATABLE DI ANTE		
 RELOCATABLE PLANT 1. The facility: ☐ is stationary; ☐ is relocatable; or ☐ consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.) 	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?)]	□No
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operat permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?		□No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in any consecutive 12-month period?	Yes	□No

Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone is associated with a change in ownership or with a physical	al relocation of the facility or any emissions units or	h question)
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar r 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification with		⊠No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersl 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without rep c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment t d) A change in ownership?	Yes placement? Yes that is substantially different? Yes Yes	□No□No□No□No
Patricia Tampas	2/28/2011	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	2/28/2012	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

COMMENTS: Spray bars used throughout the system kept the VE's down significantly. The fuel records are kept at a central location and were emailed to the inspector after requested. There were no violations noted.